

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,171	. 04/05/2001	Hideki Matsumoto	684.3210	7813
5514	7590 08/19/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	·····

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/826,171	MATSUMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Susan S. Lee	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI	EDLV IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 M	MONTH(S) FROM				
<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thineriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	04 June 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 3) Since this application is in condition for a		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice ur						
Disposition of Claims		•				
, ,	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>13-15, 18-20, 34-36, 39-41, 57, 5</u>		2 50 52 55 62 and 62 in/ove rejected				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,8-11,16,17,21,22,24,26, 2</u>	27, 29-32, 37, 38, 42-44,46,48	-50,52-55,62 and 63 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or alastian requirement	•				
Application Papers	ind/or election requirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ol>	ments have been received.	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the application from the certified copies of the application from the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the certified copies of the application from the certified copies of the application from the international copies.</li> </ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3,5,6,8-11,13-22,24,26,27,29-32,34-44,46,48-50,52-55,57 and 59-66.

Art Unit: 2852

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9/6, 9/8/6, 10/9/6, 10/9/8/6, 11/1, 11/6, 16, 17/13, 17/16/15/13, 21/1, 21/6, 22, 24, 26/22, 26/24/22, 27, 29, 30/27, 30/29/27, 31/30/27, 31/30/29/27, 32/29/27, 32/27, 37, 38/34, 38/37/36/34, 42/22, 42/27, 43, 44, 46/43, 46/44, 48/43, 48/44, 49, 50, 52/49, 52/50, 53/44, 53/49, 53/50, 54/49, 54/50, 55/43, 55/44, 55/49, 55/50, 62/61/57, 62/61/59, 63/57, and 63/59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As to claim 1, line 8, "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" and line 9, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

Art Unit: 2852

member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 9, lines 1-3, "said third electrode ... is disposed along a length of said developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. As interpreted from the claim language, this third electrode is on the surface of the developing member.

As to claim 16, lines 1-3, "said third electrode ... is disposed along a length of said developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. As interpreted from the claim language, this third electrode is on the surface of the developing member.

As to claim 17, lines 1-2, "said first electrode and said second electrode arranged along a length of said developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 22, line 8, "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" and line 9, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

Art Unit: 2852

As to claim 27, line 8, "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" and line 9, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 37, lines 1-3, "said third electrode ... is disposed along a length of said developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. As interpreted from the claim language, this third electrode is on the surface of the developing member.

As to claim 38, lines 2-3, "said first electrode and said second electrode arranged along a length of said developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 43, line 10, "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" and line 11, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 44, line 11, "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" and line 12, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81

Art Unit: 2852

and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 49, line 11, "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" and line 12, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 50, line 12, "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 82 is not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

As to claim 50, lines 12-15, "a second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member, wherein said first electrode is disposed such that at least a lower end thereof takes a position **lower** than the second electrode when the process cartridge is mounted to the main assembly of said electrophotographic image forming apparatus" is not found in the original disclosure nor in the drawings.

As to claim 53, lines 1-3, "the third electrode ... is disposed along a length of the developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. As interpreted from the claim language, this third electrode is on the surface of the developing member.

As to claim 62, lines 1-3, "the third electrode ... is disposed along a length of the developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. As

Art Unit: 2852

interpreted from the claim language, this third electrode is on the surface of the developing member.

As to claim 63, lines 1-3, "the first electrode and said second electrode arranged along a length of the developing member" is not supported in the specification nor in the drawings. The electrodes 81 and 82 are not on the surface of the developing member as interpreted from the claim language, rather they appear to be disposed parallel to the developing member.

## Specification

The amendment filed 5/5/003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "first electrode disposed along a length of said developing member"; "second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member"; and "said third electrode ... is disposed along a length of said developing member" are not supported in the specification nor in the drawings; and "a second electrode disposed along a length of said developing member, wherein said first electrode is disposed such that at least a lower end thereof takes a position lower than the second electrode when the process cartridge is mounted to the main assembly of said electrophotographic image forming apparatus" is not found in the original disclosure nor in the drawings.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2852

Claims 13-15, 18, 19, 20/13, 20/16/15/13, 21/13, 34-36, 39/34, 39/37/36/34, 40, 41/37/36/34, 41/34, 42/34, 57, 59, 60/57, 60/59, 61/57, 61/59, 64/57, 64/59, 65/57, 65/59, 66/57, and 66/59 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 703-308-2138. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 703-308-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Susan S. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852